



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,462	08/12/2005	Henrik Holter	43327-212567	4516	
26694	7590 07/07/2006		EXAMINER		
VENABLE I	LLP		WIMER, MICHAEL C		
P.O. BOX 343			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
WASHINGIC	ON, DC 20045-9998		2821		-
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,462	HOLTER				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael C. Wimer	2828				
 Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	address			
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO I - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (18) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the number of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COM R 1.136(a). In no event, howeve n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  The may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	——. This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allo		al matters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requireme	ent.				
Application	on Papers						
· · ·	he specification is objected to by the Exar	miner					
	•		o)☐ objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	- · ·	-	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) X  A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oigh phoney andor oo o	.0.0. 3 1 10(0) (0) 0. (1).				
, –	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum						
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •	al Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	· —	per No(s)/Mail Date  otice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/7/2005</u> .	J. 00)	her:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiokawa et al. (4400703) in view of Hofer et al. (4697192).

Regarding Claims 1-21, Shiokawa et al. show in Figures 5a,b, an electrically controlled broadband group antenna comprising a plurality of antenna elements 1 within recesses forming slots 3 and comprising a rotationally symmetrical body arranged on a ground plane 2 and common thereto and the axis of rotation perpendicular to the ground pane 2. The elements are tapered. However, the elements are not specifically taught to taper as claimed here. Thus, Hofer et al. are cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art, and shows a tapered helical element, as claimed, and having a metallic casing 16 surface. It would have been obvious to the skilled antenna artisan to employ the antenna elements in an array such as taught by Shiokawa et al. atop a common ground plane in a group. As to Claim 3, a screw connection is an obvious connector for mounting. As to Claims 4,19 and 20, a spacing sleeve 3 is shown. Regarding Claims 5 and 8, two cable inputs defining bushes

Application/Control Number: 10/520,462 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

and sleeves, would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in feeding two helices per antenna element. Regarding Claims 6 and 7, the geometrical arrangement of elements in an array would have been an obvious consideration and is dependent upon beam pattern desired. As to Claim 9, the claimed spacing of antenna elements is an obvious spacing to prevent grating lobes as is well known in the antenna art.

As to Claim 10, a microwave unit is defined in the enclosure 5 of Shiokawa et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - In Claim 3, "such as..." is not a positive recitation of structure. In Claim 5, "for example..." is not a positive recitation of structure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828 Page 4

MCW 6/15/2006